

ISTITUTO SIEROTERAPICO MILANESE

"SERAFFINO BELFANTI"

ENTE MORALE AGGREGATO ALLA UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO
MILANO - VIA DARWIN, 20

TELEF. 30640-30677-31757-32823-33917

TELEGR.: SIEROTERAPICO - MILANO

U. P. I. C. MILANO N. 48709

Milano, May 19, 1953

Dear Joshua, enclosed is the final draft of the joint paper, to be published on Chain's symposium. When your comments came I rewrote the paper taking your suggestions into account, and resisting as much as I could the temptation of altering the text while polishing it up. Jinks read the whole thing and suggested a number of alterations of the place for prepositions and conjunctions - of course, in my own part. You probably overrate my capacity for writing English. The only paper which was written entirely by myself is the one on Heredity, chloramphenicol-resistance; ^{for} the W.H.O. paper ~~owes~~ I owe much to the kindness of Dr. Ercoli, formerly director of this Istituto and now working at Armour's. Unfortunately, due to a mistake, his help in the correction of the manuscript was not acknowledged in the paper.

I hope you will find the present work tolerably good. However, there is a chance to improve it, since Chain, to whom I explained on Monday last that you had not seen the final version, promised to send me proofs. I do not know when proofs will actually come, so it would be best if you could send me a copy of the manuscript with your corrections ~~if~~ as soon as you can manage. Two copies enclosed so that you can keep one.

The first part was altered more than the rest, in order to follow your suggestions. I dropped Law's quotation (Texas Reports Biol. & Med. 10:571) because it is not quite clear whether his test is a fluctuation test or a correlation between relatives test; it is probably a mixture of the two, since ~~wight~~ ^{weight} of tumor ~~depends~~ ^{should} both on absolute number of resistant cells (fluctuation test) and growth rate of individual mutations (correl. betw. rel.). Some bibliog. references may be incomplete (e.g., Catlin's). As to the negative binomial story, I have kept it (but am ready to drop it in proofs). I think the variance of numbers of resistants ~~would~~ in parallel cultures would ~~have-a-variance~~ be definitely greater than the mean if the probability of becoming resistant were ~~higher~~ variable from cell to cell (proportional to cell size, for instance, to give an unlikely physiological example). However, I am not a mathematician. ^{Perhaps} because of this reason I fully agree with you as to the ~~persuading~~ ^{persuasive} power of indirect mathematical proofs, including kinetics; ~~althogh~~ ^{although}, in the end, all proofs may be put in biometrical terms, ~~xxxxxxx~~ ^{xxxxxxx} The kind of proofs of which replica plating is a splendid example need not be put in biometrical terms to be convincing, and derive part of their strength ~~from this fact~~ and cannot consult anybody here on the issue, but you may in Madison. The same kind of argument for deriving say, ~~abinomial~~ ^{a negative binomial}, ~~for~~ has been employed by Greenwood and Yule to explain the non-poissonian distribution of deaths by accidents in in-

dustry. They assumed a variable degree of accident proneness in the population; ~~and~~ if this degree were variable according to a distribution of chi square type (Eulerian or Pearson type III) the observed distribution of number of deaths per year should be the negative binomial, which in fact they found. Of course, the extra parameter permits a wide adjustability and the hypothesis has no biological interest. It serves to stress that, when testing for mutation and selection versus physiological adaptation, the latter cannot be denied by the simple assumption of a Poisson distribution, and the finding that this will not fit. Therefore I believe the fluctuation test is ~~not~~ test at all. I have kept its original designation to avoid the introduction of further nomenclature.

I hope you will take a free hand in the discussion of the manuscript. As to reprints I have noted your wishes.

Work : Calef, working here, has crossed coli B to K-12; the frequency of recombination is low, but higher with Hfr. (Demerec will now be ~~unexcusable~~ unexcusable if he does not carry out genetic analyses of his ~~strains~~ mutants). The interesting thing is that crossing a Tr-coli B to Hfr SF on minimal sm tryptophane, the Tr- SF recombinants are all Hfr ! Both when crossed to K-12 F- and to coli B. Coli B behaves as F- and when crossed to K-12 F+ gives F- progeny; it cannot be infected. These results are highly preliminary and I hope they will stand further trials.

I have been quite convinced by your idea of a book on Genetics and Chemotherapy. Would there be a chance of writing it jointly, if I could come to the USA for a period?

Perhaps next summer ~~may-be~~ (1954) will be my first opportunity_x for trying to get a leave. I am bound here both by routine and by academic duties, and summer is unfortunately the best time. If you spend at least part of it in Madison, I might see in the meanwhile a few other places of interest, while you are away. June-October is the longest stretch of time I can think of, in view of my present duties. You wrote some time ago that there may be a chance of getting local funds for my living expenses; it would be easier if I had to get money only for the journey, or little more. I should like to come with my wife to give me a help in the lab and in the library, but would leave the rest of the family home. If you let me know your opinion, and I can confirm the prospects of a leave, I shall ~~address~~ ^{apply to} the Rockefeller first, and then perhaps W.H.O.

Yours

Lucas

23/5/53
not confirmed
by later
trial.
This is
something
not clear
about the
wide cross
perhaps specific.